Conflict cause-analysis and interventions. Based on the circle of conflict of Moore (2003)

Cause Analysis/indicators Interventions

Relationship or communication

- · Strong emotions.
- Misconceptions / stereotyping.
- Miscommunication or poor communication.
- Behavior that causes a negative or downward spiral.
- Hard-line style / hostile behavior.
- Differing perspectives or assumptions.
- Recurring interactions with a negative effect.
- Extreme expression of emotions or refusal to communicate
- · Control emotions:
 - · Reframing (III-6).
 - · Let other party paraphrase (III-3).
 - · Acknowledgement (III-5.2).
 - · Ground rules (I-5).
 - · Caucus (II-16).
 - · Asking questions (III-10 and IV-4.4).
- · Vent emotions:
 - · Reflecting (III-5).
 - · Acknowledgement (III-5.2).
 - · Asking questions (III-10 and IV-4.4).
- Clarify perspectives (IV-2).
- · Regulate communication (III-1).
- Meta communication (III-9).
- · Reality testing (III-11).
- · Block negative behavior by:
 - · Changing communication patterns, f.e. who speaks to whom.
 - · Reflecting (III-5.1).
 - · Confronting (III-10.4).
 - Reality testing (III-11).
 - · Demonstrating how it can be handled differently.

Interests

- Differing content (substantive) interests (reconcilable or irreconcilable).
- Differing procedural interests (reconcilable or irreconcilable).
- Unmet psychological interests.

- Impasse.
- Indistinguishable, unclear interests.
- Suspicion of a hidden agenda.
- Emphasize interests and the future instead of positions and the past (II-10).
- · Search for subjective criteria (interests) (II-7).
- Search for objective criteria (III-16.1).
- Develop options that take into account the interests of all parties involved (III-15).
- Expand the pie (enlarge it) or make it different (II-7.2 and III-15.2)
- · Search for additional or different resources (III-15).
- Search for a creative compromise or systematically use trade-offs, f.e. by exchanging more and less important needs (II-7.2).
- · Reality testing and NAN (III-11).

Cause Interventions Analysis/indicators

Structural conflict cause

- Destructive behavior
 Power and natterns or interactions.
- Significant difference in influence, resources, knowledge, etc.
- Large differences in power and authority.
- · Aspects that obstruct cooperation like geography. physical limitations or environmental factors.
- Structural differences in the importance of time.

- disempowerment.
- · Ouickly giving in. Ouick concessions.
- Huge power differences between the parties.
- Different interests regarding time aspects: accelerating, delaying tactics or time-consuming behavior.
- Negative interactions between parties.
- Extreme emotions or (emotional) withdrawal.

- Discuss the structural conflict and try to achieve role reversal.
- · Replace negative behavior with other behavior.
- Restore the power balance (II-3).
- Develop a balanced and neutral decision-making process and make sure all parties involved have the same opportunities to participate in the process and the outcome; objective criteria (III-16.1), empowerment (II-6), ground rules (II-5).
- Change the negotiation from positional bargaining to principled negotiation (II-4).
- Help a party to analyze or increase leverage (III-14.2).
- Modify the manner in which the power is exercised; f.e. convince instead of steamroll, or content discussions instead of 'underdog' (victim) behavior.
- Alter the conditions of the setting: f.e. create distance instead of sitting close, different venue, one-on-one discussions instead of joint sessions and vice versa.
- Neutralize any external pressure from constituents or other parties outside the mediation (I-2,7,2).
- Change the role that time plays (II-14).

Principles and values

- · Differing criteria to assess behavior and ideas.
- · Different way of life, incompatible ideology, principles, race or religion.
- Differences and commonalities in approach and reactions.
- Very different background or personality.
- Avoid formulating in terms of value and evaluating (II-2).
- Define the problem differently (II-7.2.2).
- Let parties agree to disagree (III-11.3).
- Develop higher or more important goals or joint interests (II-7.2).

Information

- . Too little, wrong or irrelevant information.
- · Different method of gathering information.
- Contradictory interpretation/ conclusions.
- · Different assumptions or perceptions.
- Persistent disagreement about the facts ('yes-no' discussions).
- Let the parties agree on what information is important.
- Define how information will be gathered.
- · Develop joint criteria on how to assess information (III-16).
- Consult experts (II-7).
- Ladder of inference (IV-2.2).